

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

21 The Court issued on September 23, 2008 an Order to Plaintiff to show cause in writing
22 (“OSC”) why his action should not be dismissed for lack of diligent prosecution as to Defendant
23 Paula Olson (“Olson”), if he had not filed a request for entry of default as to Olson by October 10,
24 2008.

25 As of the date of this Order, Plaintiff has not filed the Request or otherwise responded in
26 writing to the OSC.

27 The Complaint was filed on February 21, 2008. The Proof of Service shows that Olson was
28 served with the Summons and Complaint on February 21, 2008. The Court concludes that the

1 action has been pending for an unreasonable period of time without any action having been taken by
2 Plaintiff regarding Olson, and Plaintiff has not proceeded with reasonable diligence in prosecuting
3 his action against her.

4 The Court has weighed those factors recited in *In Re Eisen*, 31 F.3d 1447, 1451 (9th Cir.
5 1994) and has considered lesser alternatives to dismissal of this action respecting Olson. It
6 concludes that under the circumstances of this case no other sanctions are reasonable, such as
7 monetary sanctions.

8 Based on the foregoing, under Rule 41(b), the Court ORDERS the action dismissed in its
9 entirety without prejudice as to Defendant Paula Olson.

10
11 DATED: November 3, 2008

/ S /

12 ROBERT J. TIMLIN

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28